

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,030		07/31/2003	Hiromi Yunoki	2003-1956.CIP	9655
23165	7590	02/02/2005		EXAMINER	
ROBERT J			NGUYEN, CAM N		
650 BRIMHALL STREET SOUTH ST PAUL, MN 551161511			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1754	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/632,030	YUNOKI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Cam N Nguyen	1754					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence addre	ss				
A SH THE - Exte afte - If th - If No - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thin d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commissions ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07/3</u>	31/03 (a CIP of application	10/615435)					
2a)□		is action is non-final.	767679,100					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.							
,_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· <u> </u>	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers		·					
91	The specification is objected to by the Examin	nor		•				
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
.0/								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			• •				
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
	-							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority document 2. □ Certified copies of the priority document 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	nts have been received. nts have been received in A pority documents have been	pplication No	ge				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	` ''	received.					
Attachmer	• •							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔀 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date <u>see attached</u> .		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-15) 	2)				

Application/Control Number: 10/632,030 Page 2

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- A. In claim 1, line 1, "A production process for a catalyst" should be changed to --A process of producing a catalyst--.
- B. In claim 1, line 2, "includes" should be changed to --including--.
- C. In claim 1, line 3, "with the production process" should be changed to --the process--.
- D. In claim 1, line 4, "revolution " should be changed to --revolving--.
- E. In claim 1, line 4, "as" should be deleted.
- F. In claim 2, line 1, "A production process" should be changed to -- The process--.
- G. In claim 2, line 2, "any one member" should be changed to --a member--.
- H. In claim 3, line 1, "A production process" should be changed to -- The process--.
- In claim 3, line 6, "revolution " should be changed to --revolving--.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. Claims 1-3 of this application conflict with claims 1-3 of <u>Copending application</u>

No. 10/615,435. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either

Art Unit: 1754

cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they contain the same subject matter as claimed in the copending application No. 10/615,435.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102(b)

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1754

7. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawajiri et al., "hereinafter Kawajiri", (US Pat. 4,873,217).

Page 4

Kawajiri discloses a process of preparing a catalyst by coating the catalytic components on a support by using a centrifugal flow coating device (see col. 23, claim 4).

With respect to the limitation on "the step of carrying out simultaneous revolving and rocking of a treatment container charged with the carrier and a catalyst precursor including the catalytic component", it is considered the claims are met by the teaching of the reference because Kawajiri teaches to perform the process using a centrifugal flow coating device, which involves revolving and rocking of the catalytic materials inside of the device at the same time.

Kawajiri discloses the claimed process of preparing a catalyst, thus anticipates the claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,030

Art Unit: 1754

Citations

Page 5

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 Form prepared attached. All references are cited for related art.

Conclusion

10. Claims 1-3 are originally pending in the application. Claims 1-3 are rejected.Claims 1-3 are objected. No claims are allowed.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Cam Nguyen, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1357. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Wed, Thurs, & Fri from 8:45 am. to 5:15 pm.

The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to telephone number (571) 272-1700.

Nguyen/cnn Cui

January 31, 2005

CAM N. NOUYEN

Art Unit: 1754